

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		·		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,552	10/29/2003	Masaru Seita	51565	7823
75	90 08/19/2005		EXAM	INER
Peter F. Corless EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP			LAVILLA, MICHAEL E	
P.O. Box 9169			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Boston, MA 02209			1775	

DATE MAILED: 08/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/696,552	SEITA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Michael La Villa	1775				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☒ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-14 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)	4\ \[ \]   \[   \]   \[	. (DTO 442)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	,					
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	ction Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20050810				

Application/Control Number: 10/696,552 Page 2

Art Unit: 1775

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a process for electroless plating, classified in class 427, subclass 436.
- II. Claims 9 and 10, drawn to a solution used for electroless plating, classified in class 106, subclass 1.22+.
- III. Claims 11, 12, and 14, drawn to a composite material, classified in class 428, subclass 626.
- IV. Claim 13, drawn to a process for electroplating copper, classified in class 205, subclass 239.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Inventions of Group I and of Group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product of Group II can be used to infiltrate a resin substrate to confer higher conductivity thereto by copper ion doping.
- 4. Inventions of Group I and of Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and

Application/Control Number: 10/696,552

**Art Unit: 1775** 

materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product of Group III can be made by a copper sputtering deposition process.

Page 3

- 5. Inventions of Group I and of Group IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions relate to an electroless deposition process on a resin versus an electrolytic deposition process on a copper layer.
- 6. Inventions of Group II and of Group III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions relate to an aqueous solution containing copper ions and other ingredients in dissolved state, further including possibly a solid resin layer in the aqueous solution, versus a laminate article having defined strata.
- 7. Inventions of Group II and of Group IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions relate to an aqueous solution containing copper ions and other ingredients in dissolved state, further including possibly a solid resin layer in the aqueous solution, versus

Art Unit: 1775

a method for electrolytically plating a copper layer on a laminate article having defined strata.

- 8. Inventions of Group III and of Group IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used in a process that would confer a non-copper over-layer or in a process that confers a copper over-layer but does so by a different process, such as by a sputter deposition process, for example.
- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 10. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each of Groups I-IV is not required for each of the other of Groups I-IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 11. Due to the complexity of the restriction requirement, a telephone call was not made to Mr. Corless to make an oral election.
- 12. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/696,552 Page 5

Art Unit: 1775

13. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## **CONCLUSION**

- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael La Villa whose telephone number is (571) 272-1539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.
- 15. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (571) 272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,552 Page 6

Art Unit: 1775

16. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael La Villa 10 August 2005

> VICHAEL E. LAVILLA PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER